



TITLE:

# Topics and problems on favorite sites of random walks (Stochastic Analysis on Large Scale Interacting Systems)

AUTHOR(S):

Okada, Izumi

---

CITATION:

Okada, Izumi. Topics and problems on favorite sites of random walks (Stochastic Analysis on Large Scale Interacting Systems). 数理解析研究所講究録別冊 2016, B59: 129-139

ISSUE DATE:

2016-07

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/243598>

RIGHT:

© 2016 by the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University. All rights reserved.

# Topics and problems on favorite sites of random walks

By

IZUMI OKADA\*

## Abstract

In this article, we study special points of a simple random walk and a Gaussian free field, such as (nearly) favorite points, late points and high points. In section 2, we extend results of [19] for  $d \geq 3$  and suggest open problems for  $d = 2$ . In section 3, we give a survey on the geometric structures of (nearly) favorite points and late points of a simple random walk and high points of a Gaussian free field in two dimension.

## § 1. Introduction

In this paper, we study properties of the local time of a random walk and special sites among a random walk range or a Gaussian free field. Major parts of this paper are survey and contains some minor extensions of existing results. As one of the motivation of this study, we are interested in the relation between the local time of a random walk and a Gaussian free field. As a well known result, the generalized second Ray-Knight theorem makes the local time of random walk and a Gaussian free field closely linked as follows. To state this theorem, we consider a reversible continuous-time random walk  $\{S_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  on a graph  $G$ , the total conductance (or weight)  $\{\lambda_x\}_{x \in G}$  (See the definition in [24]), the local time

$$\tilde{K}(t, x) := \lambda_x^{-1} \int_0^t 1_{\{S_s = x\}} ds,$$

and

$$\tilde{\tau}_t := \inf\{s : \tilde{K}(s, 0) > t\}.$$

---

Received January 30, 2016. Revised May 13, 2016.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification(s): 60Gxx, 60Fxx

*Key Words:* favorite point, late point, high point, simple random walk, Gaussian free field.

Supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 15J11183

\*Tokyo Institute of Technology, Ookayama 2-12-1, Meguro-ku, Tokyo, 152-8550, Japan.

e-mail: okada.i.aa@m.titech.ac.jp

Pick a certain point in  $G$  as the origin. Let  $\{\phi(x)\}_{x \in G}$  be the Gaussian free field with mean zero and  $\text{Cov}(\phi(x), \phi(y)) = E^x[\tilde{K}(T_0, y)]$ , where  $T_0$  is stopping time to the origin.

**Theorem 1.1** ([12] : The generalized second Ray-Knight theorem).

*The law of  $\{\tilde{K}(\tilde{\tau}_t, x) + \frac{1}{2}\phi(x)^2\}_{x \in G}$  under  $P \times \mathbf{P}$   
is the same as that of  $\{\frac{1}{2}(\phi(x) + \sqrt{2t})^2\}_{x \in G}$  under  $\mathbf{P}$ ,*

where  $\mathbf{P}$  is the probability of the Gaussian free field and  $P$  is that of the random walk starting the origin.

A simple sorting of above yields the following.

**Corollary 1.2** ([12]).

$$\left\{ \frac{\tilde{K}(\tilde{\tau}_t, x) - t}{\sqrt{2t}} \right\}_{x \in G} \rightarrow \{\phi(x)\}_{x \in G} \text{ in law as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

We consider that this corresponds to the central limit theorem for the local time  $\tilde{K}(\tilde{\tau}_t, x)$ , and hence, the limit of  $\tilde{K}(\tilde{\tau}_t, x)$  as the central limit theorem is the corresponding Gaussian free field. Then, we have the question: how far are the distribution of the local time from that of the Gaussian free field at each time? Then, we are interested in two processes and especially study the relation between special points of a discrete-time simple random walk in  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_n^2(=\mathbb{Z}^2/n\mathbb{Z}^2)$  where the local time is large or small and special points in  $\mathbb{Z}_n^2$  where the corresponding Gaussian free field takes large value. To explain properties of such points of a simple random walk in  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ , we give some definitions of a simple random walk. (In the sequel, we consider only discrete-time simple random walk in  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  (or  $\mathbb{Z}_n^2$ ). ) Let  $\{S_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$  be a simple random walk on the  $d$ -dimensional square lattice. Let  $d(x, y)$  be the Euclidean distance for  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ . Let  $D(x, r) := \{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d : d(x, y) \leq r\}$  and for  $G \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ ,  $\partial G := \{y \in G : d(x, y) = 1 \text{ for some } x \in G^c\}$ . Let  $P^x$  denote the probability measure for the simple random walk starting at  $x$ . We simply write  $P$  for  $P^0$ . Let  $K(n, x)$  be the number of visits of the simple random walk to  $x$  until time  $n$ , that is,  $K(n, x) = \sum_{i=0}^n 1_{\{S_i=x\}}$ . For  $D \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ , let  $T_D := \inf\{m \geq 1 : S_m \in D\}$ . In particular, we write  $T_{x_1, \dots, x_j}$  for  $T_{\{x_1, \dots, x_j\}}$ . Let  $\tau_n := \inf\{m \geq 0 : S_m \in \partial D(0, n)\}$ . In addition,  $[a]$  denotes the smallest integer  $n$  with  $n \geq a$ .

## § 2. Some estimates for favorite points in $\mathbb{Z}^d$ with $d \geq 2$

We call the most frequently visited site among all of the random walk range (up to a specific time) favorite point. About fifty years ago, Erdős and Taylor [13] proposed a

problem concerning the simple random walk in  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ : how many times does the random walk revisit the favorite point? In fact, [13] showed that for the simple random walk in  $d \geq 3$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\max_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} K(n, x)}{\log n} = \frac{1}{-\log P(T_0 < \infty)} \quad \text{a.s.}$$

In addition, for  $d = 2$ , they obtained

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\max_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^2} K(n, x)}{(\log n)^2} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\max_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^2} K(n, x)}{(\log n)^2} \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \quad \text{a.s.},$$

and conjectured that the limit exists and equals  $1/\pi$  a.s. Forty years later, Dembo et al. [4] verified this conjecture, that is, they showed that for a simple random walk in  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\max_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^2} K(\tau_n, x)}{(\log n)^2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4 \max_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^2} K(n, x)}{(\log n)^2} = \frac{4}{\pi} \quad \text{a.s.}$$

In addition, for  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , [4, 6] defined the set of  $\alpha$ -favorite points in  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  such that

$$\Psi_n(\alpha) := \left\{ x : K(\tau_n, x) \geq \left\lceil \frac{4\alpha}{\pi} (\log n)^2 \right\rceil \right\}.$$

It is known that the law of large numbers for the random walk range in  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  holds by [16]. [4] showed the law of large numbers for  $\Psi_n(\alpha)$ . After this, [22] made another proof of results of [4]. In addition, there are many works studying properties of the favorite points for any dimension such as the number of visit to it and the location of it. For example, in [19] we showed that the favorite points of the simple random walk in  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  with  $d \geq 2$  does not appear in the boundary of the random walk range from some time on a.s. Additional open problems concerning geometric structure of the favorite points are raised by Erdős and Révész [14, 15] and Shi and Tóth [23] but almost no definite solution to them is known for multi-dimensional walks.

Now, we introduce results of [19]. Set  $R(n) := \{S_0, S_1, \dots, S_n\}$  as the random walk range until time  $n$ . Moreover, we set

$$M(n) := \max_{x \in \partial R(n)} K(n, x).$$

The first theorem provides us with sharp asymptotic behavior of  $M(n)$ .

**Theorem 2.1** ([19]). *For  $d \geq 2$*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M(n)}{\log n} = \beta_d \quad \text{a.s.},$$

where

$$\beta_d = \frac{1}{-\log P(T_0 < T_b)}$$

and  $b$  is a neighbor of the origin.

To compare to  $|\Psi_n(\alpha)|$ , we define  $\Theta_n(\delta)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 < \delta < 1$  as

$$\Theta_n(\delta) := |\{x \in \partial R(n) : \frac{K(n, x)}{\log n} \geq \beta_d \delta\}|.$$

In fact, it is known that the law of large numbers for the boundary of the range of a transient random walk holds by [18]. Then, we have a question : does the law of large numbers for  $\Theta_n(\delta)$  hold? The following corresponds to the answer.

**Theorem 2.2** ([19]). *For  $d \geq 2$  and  $0 < \delta < 1$ ,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \Theta_n(\delta)}{\log n} = 1 - \delta \quad a.s.$$

Next, we will extend these results to that of some general boundary. Fix  $M \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(M) := \{H \subset \mathbb{Z}^d : \{0\} \notin H, |H| \leq M, P(T_x < T_H) > 0 \quad \forall x \in H^c\}$ . For any  $H \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$  and  $G \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ , let

$$\partial_H G := \{y \in G : y + H \subset G^c\}.$$

Note that if we let  $\mathcal{N}(0)$  be the set of neighbors of the origin,  $\cup_{b \in \mathcal{N}(0)} \partial_{\{b\}} R(n) = \partial R(n)$  holds. In addition, we need the condition “ $P(T_x < T_H) > 0 \quad \forall x \in H^c$ ” in the proof of Lemma 2.7 below. This condition verifies that  $H$  doesn't surround the origin.

**Theorem 2.3.** *For  $d \geq 3$  and  $H \in \mathcal{H}$*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\partial_H R(n)|}{n} = q \quad a.s.,$$

where

$$q := P((\{S'_m\}_{m=0}^\infty \cup \{S_m\}_{m=0}^\infty) \cap H = \emptyset \text{ and } 0 \in \{S_m\}_{m=1}^\infty)$$

and  $\{S'_m\}_{m=0}^\infty$  denotes an independent copy of  $\{-S_m\}_{m=0}^\infty$ .

Compare this to Theorem 2.1 in [18]. It is trivial that almost the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [18] yields this result.

*Remark.* Note that any choice of  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  does not realize  $\partial_H G = \partial G$  in general. However, Theorem 2.3 yields Theorem 2.1 in [18] easily. Note that for  $H_1, H_2 \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$  and  $G \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$

$$\partial_{H_1} G \cap \partial_{H_2} G = \partial_{H_1 \cup H_2} G.$$

Set  $\mathcal{U}_k := \{A \subset \mathcal{N}(0) : |A| = k\}$ . Then, the inclusion-exclusion identity yields

$$\sum_{k=1}^{2d} \sum_{H \in \mathcal{U}_k} (-1)^{k+1} |\partial_H R(n)| = |\partial R(n)|.$$

In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{2d} \sum_{H \in \mathcal{U}_k} (-1)^{k+1} P((\{S'_m\}_{m=0}^\infty \cup \{S_m\}_{m=0}^\infty) \cap H_k = \emptyset \text{ and } 0 \in \{S_m\}_{m=1}^\infty) \\ &= P((\{S'_m\}_{m=0}^\infty \cup \{S_m\}_{m=0}^\infty) \not\subset \mathcal{N}(0) \text{ and } 0 \in \{S_m\}_{m=1}^\infty). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the desired result holds.

For  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ , we set

$$M_H(n) := \max_{x \in \partial_H R(n)} K(n, x).$$

The following corresponds to the extension of Theorem 2.1.

**Theorem 2.4.** For  $d \geq 3$  and  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M_H(n)}{\log n} = \beta_d(H) \quad a.s.,$$

where

$$\beta_d(H) = \frac{1}{-\log P(T_0 < T_H)}.$$

To compare to  $\Theta_n(\delta)$ , we define  $\Theta_n(\delta, H)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 < \delta < 1$  as

$$\Theta_n(\delta, H) := |\{x \in \partial_H R(n) : \frac{K(n, x)}{\log n} \geq \beta_d(H)\delta\}|.$$

In view of Theorem 2.4 together with Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, we have a question : does the law of large numbers for  $\Theta_n(\delta, H)$  hold? The following corresponds to the answer.

**Theorem 2.5.** For  $d \geq 3$ ,  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $0 < \delta < 1$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \Theta_n(\delta, H)}{\log n} = 1 - \delta \quad a.s.$$

*Remark.* We extend Theorems 2.4 and 2.5 to Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 by using the same argument as in Remark after Theorem 2.3.

As we will see, the proof of Theorem 2.4 is a minor modification of that of Theorem 2.1. Note that Theorem 2.2 follows from assertions developed in the proof of Theorem

2.1. Analogously, Theorem 2.5 follows in the same manner once we proved Theorem 2.4. Thus we argue only the proof of Theorem 2.4.

To show Theorem 2.4, let  $T_x^0 = \inf\{j \geq 0 : S_j = x\}$  and for  $p \geq 1$ ,

$$T_x^p = \inf\{j > T_x^{p-1} : S_j = x\}$$

with the convention  $\inf \emptyset = \infty$ . To show the upper bound, we provide the following:

**Lemma 2.6.** *For  $\beta > 0$  and  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  there exists  $C > 0$  such that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$E[\tilde{\Theta}_n(\beta, H)] \leq Cn^{1 - \frac{\beta}{\beta_d(H)}},$$

where

$$\tilde{\Theta}_n(\beta, H) := |\{x \in \partial_H R(T_x^{\lceil \beta \log(n/2) \rceil}) : K(n, x) \geq \lceil \beta \log \frac{n}{2} \rceil\}|.$$

*Remark.* Note that Lemma 2.6 corresponds to Lemma 3.1 in [19]. Therefore, if we obtain Lemma 2.6, by the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 3.1 in [19], the desired upper bound follows with minor modifications.

*Proof.* First, we introduce the elementary property corresponding to (3) in [19]. For any intervals  $I_0, I_1, I_2 \subset \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  with  $I_0 \subset I_1 \subset I_2$ , it holds that

$$R(I_0) \cap \partial_H R(I_2) \subset \partial_H R(I_1).$$

Then, if we change  $\partial$  in the proof of Lemma 3.1 in [19] to  $\partial_H$ , we obtain the result with minor modifications.  $\square$

Next, to show the lower bound, we will define the following. For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\beta < \beta_d(H)$ , let  $h_k = \beta \log P(T_0 < T_H \wedge k) + 1$ . Let  $u_n := \lceil \exp(n^2) \rceil$  and

$$I_n := [\frac{u_{n-1}}{n^2}, u_{n-1} - k\lceil \beta_d(H)n^2 \rceil] \cap \mathbb{N}.$$

For any  $l \in I_n$ , we introduce the event  $A_{l,n}$  defined by

$$E_{l,n} := \{T_{S_l}^j - T_{S_l}^{j-1} < k \text{ for any } 1 \leq j \leq \lceil \beta n^2 \rceil\},$$

and

$$A_{l,n} := \{S_l \in R(l-1)^c \cap \partial_H R(u_n)\} \cap E_{l,n}.$$

Then, we set

$$Q_n := \sum_{l \in I_n} 1_{A_{l,n}}.$$

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $\beta < \beta_d$  and take  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, there exists  $c > 0$  such that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$EQ_n \geq c \exp(h_k n^2 - 2n).$$

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $\beta < \beta_d$  and take  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, there exists  $C > 0$  such that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$\text{Var}(Q_n) \leq C \exp(2h_k n^2 - 4n) \times \frac{1}{n^{10}}.$$

*Remark.* Note that Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8 correspond to Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 in [19]. Therefore, if we change  $\partial_b$  in the proof of Proposition 4.1 in [19] to  $\partial_H$ , Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8 yield the lower bound with minor modifications.

*Proof of Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8.* Again, note that Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8 correspond to Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 in [19]. Especially, we need the condition “ $P(T_x < T_H) > 0 \forall x \in H^c$ ” in  $\mathcal{H}$  in the proof of Lemma 2.7. This condition yields  $P(T_0 \wedge T_H = \infty), P(T_H = \infty) > 0$ . Lemma 4.4, Corollary 4.1, Lemmas 4.5 and 4.6 and the simple summation over  $I_n$  in [19] yield Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 in [19]. If we change  $b$  in the proof of [19] to  $H$ , we obtain results corresponding to Lemma 4.4, Corollary 4.1, Lemmas 4.5 and 4.6 in [19] with minor modifications. Especially, the facts  $P(T_0 \wedge T_H = \infty), P(T_H = \infty) > 0$  are used to show the assertion corresponding to Lemma 4.5. Other arguments are same as that of [19]. Therefore, desired results follow.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.4.* If we change  $b$  in the proof of [19] to  $H$ , we obtain results corresponding to Proposition 4.1 in [19] with minor modifications.  $\square$

Now, we provide two open problems for  $d = 2$ .

**Open problem 1.** *For  $d = 2$ , is it true that results corresponding to Theorems 2.4 and 2.5 hold?*

We believe that it is true and explain why it is difficult to solve it. If we solve the lower bound of this by the same argument as [19], we need to estimate the following: for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$P(T_H > \lceil \frac{u_n}{n} \rceil), \quad P(T_0 \wedge T_H > \lceil \frac{u_n}{n} \rceil)$$

and for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  with  $0 < |x| < n\sqrt{u_{n-1}}$ ,

$$P(T_H \wedge T_{x+H} > \lceil \frac{u_n}{n} \rceil).$$



Note that these correspond to (52), (53) or Lemma 4.7 in [19]. In Corollary 2 and (1.2) of [17], they showed that for  $H \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ ,

$$\sum_{i \in H} P^i(T_H \geq n) \sim \frac{\pi}{\log n},$$

where  $a_n \sim c_n$  means  $a_n/c_n \rightarrow 1$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) for sequences  $a_n$  and  $c_n$ . In addition, [18] yields

$$P^i(T_0 \wedge T_b \geq n) \sim \frac{\pi}{2 \log n},$$

where  $b$  is a neighbor of the origin. However, it is difficult to estimate the individual term for a general  $H \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ , that is,  $P^i(T_H \geq n)$  for  $i \in H$ .

In addition, we will consider a certain extension of Theorem 2.1 for  $d = 2$ .

**Open problem 2.** Consider  $\tilde{D}_n \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $\tilde{D}_n \subset D(0, a_n)^c$  and  $a_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then, how is the asymptotic of  $M_{\tilde{D}_n}(n)$  with probability one?

We are especially interested in the case that  $\tilde{D}_n \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $\tilde{D}_n \subset D(0, a_n)^c \cap D(0, 2a_n)$  and  $a_n = n^\beta$  for  $0 < \beta < 1/2$ . In fact, [7] showed the largest disc completely covered until  $\tau_n$  by the simple random walk in  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  is  $n^{1/2+o(1)}$ . Then, we conjecture that this argument yields that if we set  $a_n \geq n^{1/2+\epsilon}$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , it holds that

$$M_{\tilde{D}_n}(n) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^2} K(\tau_n, x) \quad \text{for all sufficiently large } n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{a.s.}$$

### § 3. Some estimates for favorite points and late points of simple random walks and high points of Gaussian free fields in two dimensions

First, we explain the high points of the Gaussian free field in  $\mathbb{Z}_n^2$ . Originally, Bolthausen, Deuschel and Giacomin [1] showed that in probability

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\max_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_n^2} \phi_n(x)}{\log n} = 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}},$$

where  $\{\phi_n(x)\}_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_n^2}$  is the Gaussian free field defined in [1, 3]. In what follows, for  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , we define the set of  $\alpha$ -high points of the Gaussian free field by

$$\mathcal{V}_n(\alpha) := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{Z}_n^2 : \frac{\phi_n(x)^2}{2} \geq \frac{4\alpha}{\pi} (\log n)^2 \right\}.$$

Next, we explain late points of a simple random walk in  $\mathbb{Z}_n^2$ . Originally, Dembo, Peres, Rosen and Zeitouni [5] showed that for a simple random walk in  $\mathbb{Z}_n^2$  in probability

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\max_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_n^2} T_x}{(n \log n)^2} = \frac{4}{\pi}.$$

(Now, we consider  $T_x$  as the stopping time to  $x$  of a simple random walk in  $\mathbb{Z}_n^2$ .) In what follows, for  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , we define the set of  $\alpha$ -late points in  $\mathbb{Z}_n^2$  such that

$$\mathcal{L}_n(\alpha) := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{Z}_n^2 : \frac{T_x}{(n \log n)^2} \geq \frac{4\alpha}{\pi} \right\}.$$

For any  $0 < \alpha, \beta < 1$  and  $\{G_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  with  $G_n \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$  (or  $\mathbb{Z}_n^2$ ), let

$$Q_j = Q_j(G_n) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |\{(x_1, \dots, x_j) \in G_n^j : d(x_i, x_l) \leq n^\beta \text{ for any } 1 \leq i, l \leq j\}|}{\log n}$$

$$\hat{Q}_j = \hat{Q}_j(G_n) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log E[|\{(x_1, \dots, x_j) \in G_n^j : d(x_i, x_l) \leq n^\beta \text{ for any } 1 \leq i, l \leq j\}|]}{\log n}$$

if the right hand sides exist. For any  $0 < \alpha, \beta < 1$ , set

$$\rho_2(\alpha, \beta) := \begin{cases} 2 + 2\beta - \frac{4\alpha}{2-\beta} & (\beta \leq 2(1 - \sqrt{\alpha})) \\ 8(1 - \sqrt{\alpha}) - 4(1 - \sqrt{\alpha})^2/\beta & (\beta \geq 2(1 - \sqrt{\alpha})), \end{cases}$$

$$\hat{\rho}_2(\alpha, \beta) := \begin{cases} 2 + 2\beta - \frac{4\alpha}{2-\beta} & (\beta \leq 2 - \sqrt{2\alpha}) \\ 6 - 4\sqrt{2\alpha} & (\beta \geq 2 - \sqrt{2\alpha}). \end{cases}$$

All the known results for  $Q_2(\mathcal{L}_n(\alpha))$ ,  $Q_2(\mathcal{V}_n(\alpha))$  and  $Q_2(\Psi_n(\alpha))$  (or the corresponding ones for  $\hat{Q}_2$ ) are summarized in Table 1 below.

Table 1. Known results for  $Q_j$  and  $\hat{Q}_j$

	$Q_2$ in prob.	$\hat{Q}_2$	$Q_j$ in prob. $\forall j \in \mathbb{N}$	$\hat{Q}_j \forall j \in \mathbb{N}$
$\mathcal{L}_n(\alpha)$	$\rho_2(\alpha, \beta) : [6]$	$\hat{\rho}_2(\alpha, \beta) : [2]$	Open prob. by [8, 9]	Unsolved
$\mathcal{V}_n(\alpha)$	$\rho_2(\alpha, \beta) : [3]$	$\hat{\rho}_2(\alpha, \beta) : [3]$	Unsolved	Unsolved
$\Psi_n(\alpha)$	Open prob. by [6]	Unsolved	Unsolved	Unsolved

If we write " $A : [b]$ " in the above table, the value of  $Q_2$  (or  $\hat{Q}_2$ ) is identified with  $A$  and  $[b]$  is the reference which solved this problem. In [20], we solve the problems concerning  $Q_2(\Psi_n(\alpha))$  or  $\hat{Q}_2(\Psi_n(\alpha))$ . Our result shows that all three exponents in almost sure sense (that is,  $Q_2$ ) coincide with one another. We also estimate this value in average (that is,  $\hat{Q}_2$ ) and obtain a similar coincidence. In addition, [21] solves the problems concerning  $Q_j(\mathcal{L}_n(\alpha))$  or  $\hat{Q}_j(\mathcal{L}_n(\alpha))$  for  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . As stated in Theorem 1.1, [12] gave a powerful equivalence in law. Ding, Lee, and Peres [10, 11] gave a strong connection between the expected maximum of the Gaussian free field and the expected cover time. Then, we are interested in the stronger relation between  $\Psi_n(\alpha)$  and  $\mathcal{V}_n(\alpha)$  (or  $\mathcal{L}_n(\alpha)$ ) and search for the difference and the similarity. We suggest the following problem.

**Open problem 3.** Consider the slightly different Gaussian free field from that defined by [1, 3], which is defined on  $D(0, n)$  and whose covariance is  $E^x[K(\tau_n, y)]$  for  $x, y \in D(0, n)$ . In addition, consider the corresponding  $\mathcal{V}_n(\alpha)$ . Is there the sequence of the coupling of the Gaussian free field in  $D(0, n)$  and simple random walk in  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  such that for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\epsilon < \alpha < 1$  and all sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$(3.1) \quad P(\Psi_n(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{V}_n(\alpha - \epsilon)) = 1$$

$$(3.2) \quad \text{or} \quad P(\mathcal{V}_n(\alpha) \subset \Psi_n(\alpha - \epsilon)) = 1?$$

### Acknowledgments.

We are grateful to Prof. Kazumasa Kuwada for interesting discussion. In addition, we thank referees for careful reading our manuscript and for giving useful comments.

### References

- [1] Bolthausen, E. , Deuschel, J. D. and Giacomin, G. (2001). Entropic repulsion and the maximum of the two-dimensional harmonic crystal. *Ann. Probab.*, **29**, 1670–1692.
- [2] Brummelhuis, M. and Hilhorst, H. (1991). Covering of a finite lattice by a random walk. *Phys. A*, **176**, 387–408.
- [3] Daviaud, O. (2006). Extremes of the discrete two-dimensional Gaussian free field. *Ann. Probab.*, **34**, 962–986.
- [4] Dembo, A. , Peres, Y. , Rosen, J. and Zeitouni, O. (2001). Thick points for planar Brownian motion and the Erdős-Taylor conjecture on random walk. *Acta Math.*, **186**, 239–270.
- [5] Dembo, A. , Peres, Y., Rosen, J. and Zeitouni, O. (2004). Cover times for Brownian motion and random walks in two dimensions. *Ann. Math.*, **160**, 433–464.
- [6] Dembo, A. , Peres, Y. , Rosen, J. and Zeitouni, O. (2006). Late points for random walks in two dimensions. *Ann. Probab.*, **34**, 219–263.
- [7] Dembo, A. , Peres, Y. and Rosen, J. (2007). How large a disc is covered by a random walk in  $n$  steps? *Ann. Probab.*, **35**, 577–601.
- [8] Dembo, A. (2003) Favorite points, cover times and fractals. In *Lectures on Probability Theory and Statistics*, volume **1869** of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 1–101. Springer, Berlin. Lectures from the 33rd Probability Summer School held in Saint-Flour, July, 6–23.
- [9] Dembo, A. (2006). Simple random covering, disconnection, late and favorite points. *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Madrid , Volume III*. 535–558.
- [10] Ding, J. (2014). Asymptotics of cover times via Gaussian free fields: Bounded-degree graphs and general trees. *Ann. Probab.*, **42**, 464–496.
- [11] Ding, J. , Lee, J. R. and Peres, Y. (2012). Cover times, blanket times, and majorizing measures. *Ann. of Math.*, **175**, 1409–1471.
- [12] Eisenbaum, N. , Kaspi, H. , Marcus, M. B. , Rosen, J. , and Shi, Z. (2000). A Ray-Knight theorem for symmetric Markov processes. *Ann. Probab.*, **28**, 1781–1796.
- [13] Erdős, P. and Taylor, S. J. (1960). Some problems concerning the structure of random walk paths. *Acta Sci. Hung.*, **11**, 137–162.
- [14] Erdős, P. and Révész, P. (1984). On the favourite points of a random walk. *Mathematical Structures Computational Mathematics Mathematical Modelling*, **2**, 152–157. Sofia.

- [15] Erdős, P. and Révész, P. (1987). Problems and results on random walks. In: Mathematical Statistics and Probability (P. Bauer et al., eds.), Proceedings of the 6th Pannonian Symposium, Volume **B**, 59–65.
- [16] Jain, N. C. and Pruitt, W. E. (1970). The range of recurrent random walk in the plane. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* **16**, 279–292.
- [17] Kesten, H. and Spitzer, F. (1963). Ratio theorems for random walks I. *Journal d'Analyse Mathématique* December, Volume **11**, Issue 1, 285–322.
- [18] Okada, I. (2014). The inner boundary of random walk range. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, to appear.
- [19] Okada, I. (2016). Frequently visited sites of the inner boundary of random walk range. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, **126**, 1412–1432.
- [20] Okada, I. (2016). Exponents for the number of pairs of nearly favorite points of simple random walk in  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ . Preprint. arxiv:1602.05641.
- [21] Okada, I. (2016). Geometric structures of late points of a two-dimensional simple random walk. Preprint. arxiv:1605.01158.
- [22] Rosen, J. (2005). A random walk proof of the Erdős-Taylor conjecture. *Periodica Mathematica Hungarica.*, **50**, 223–245.
- [23] Shi, Z. and Tóth, B. (2000). Favourite sites of simple random walk. *Periodica Mathematica Hungarica.*, **41**, 237–249.
- [24] Sznitman, A. S. (2012). *Topics in Occupation Times and Gaussian Free Fields*. . Lectures in Advanced Mathematics, EMS, Zurich.